Acta Biochim Biophys Sin 2013, 45: 429–434 | © The Author 2013. Published by ABBS Editorial Office in association with Oxford University Press on behalf of the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. DOI: 10.1093/abbs/gmt021.

Advance Access Publication 3 March 2013



Review

The structure of prion: is it enough for interpreting the diverse phenotypes of prion diseases?

Chan Tian¹ and Xiaoping Dong^{1,2*}

¹State Key Laboratory for Infectious Disease Prevention and Control, National Institute for Viral Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing 102206, China

²Chinese Academy of Sciences Key Laboratory of Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

*Correspondence address. Tel/Fax: +86-10-58900815; E-mail: dongxp238@sina.com

Prion diseases, or transmissible spongiform encephalopathies, are neurodegenerative diseases, which affect human and many species of animals with 100% fatality rate. The most accepted etiology for prion disease is 'prion', which arises from the conversion from cellular PrP^C to the pathological PrP^{Sc}. This review discussed the characteristic structure of PrP, including *PRNP* gene, PrP^C, PrP^{Sc}, PrP amyloid, and prion strains.

Keywords PrP; PrP^C; prion disease; PrP structure

Received: December 15, 2012 Accepted: January 30, 2013

Introduction

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), or prion diseases, are neurodegenerative conditions caused by prions, which was characterized by cognitive and motor impairments, neuronal dysfunction, extensive brain damage, and finally death. TSEs may occur in human and various animals, such as Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in humans [1], scrapie in sheep [2], bovine spongiform encephalopathy (also known as mad cow disease) in cattle, and chronic wasting disease in deer [2]. The infectious agents consist of PrPSc, a misfolded and aggregated form of the cellular prion protein (PrPC). This review will discuss the latest findings about the structure of PrP and its association with the phenotypes of prion diseases.

PRNP Gene

The PrP protein is encoded by a chromosomal gene, PRNP. Besides PRNP, there are some members of the Prn gene family including Prnd, the doppel protein encoded gene [3], and Sprn, the shadoo protein encoded gene [4]. The full-length open reading frame (ORF) of all known mammalian and avian PrP genes locates in a single exon [5–8].

The Syrian hamster PrP (SHaPrP) gene has two exons, which are separated by a 10-kb intron. The exon 2 includes the ORF and 3' untranslated regions, and exon 1 includes a part of the 5' untranslated leader sequence [6]. The mouse, rat, cattle and sheep PrP genes contain three exons and the ORFs for PrP locate in exon 3 [9–13]. Additionally, an untranslated 5' exon was discovered in the genes of SHaPrP [14] and human PrP (HuPrP) [15]. The PrP promoter contains multiple copies of GC-rich repeats, which is a canonical binding site for the transcription factor Sp1 [16], leading to expressing in different tissues, such as brains, muscles, and some immunocytes, and the highest levels of PrP mRNA are found in neurons by in situ hybridization [17]. The alignment of the ORFs for PrP proteins from more than 40 species shows a conspicuous conservation among the mammals, suggesting an important role of PrP in the evolution progress.

PrP^{C}

PrP is a cell membrane protein. In the process of modification after translation, peptide amino acids (aa) 1-22 is cleaved as signal peptide during trafficking, and peptide from aa 232 to the end is presented as the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor. The full length of PrP protein is from aa 23 to 231. The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structures for normal PrP of some species, such as mice, humans, Syrian hamsters and cattle, have been successfully illustrated, sharing common features: a long, flexible amino-terminal tail (residues 23–128), three α -helices, and a two-stranded anti-parallel β -sheet that flanks the first α -helix [18]. The second β -sheet and the third α -helix are connected by a large loop with interesting structural properties [19]. Fourier-transform infrared and circular dichroism studies showed that PrPC contains about 40% α -helix and a small amount of β -sheet [20]. The carboxyl terminus of PrPC is stabilized by a disulfide bond that links helices two and three [21] and exhibits a globular structure. A crystal structure of PrP has been obtained, largely in agreement with the NMR structures [22].

In the unstructured N-terminal of PrP molecule, there are two defined and conserved regions. The first region consists of a segment of five repeats of eight amino acids sequence (octapeptide repeat region, OR) [18]. This region is important to bind with divalent metal ions, like copper [23,24] and zinc [25], and could be involved in prion pathogenesis [26,27]. The second region contains a highly hydrophobic and conserved profile, which is proposed to be the transmembrane region of the PrP molecule. In addition, the region around histidine-96 in PrP is believed to be the binding site for manganese [28] and zinc [25], which may also contribute to the pathogenesis of prion diseases. Besides metal ions, unstructured N-terminal region also interacts with a broad range of partners. It shows binding activity with small unilamellar vesicles containing phosphatidylserine, particularly at acidic pH, by residues 23-145. Binding lipids may increase the ordered conformation of this normally flexible domain [29]. N-terminal of PrP^C possesses binding activity with nucleic acid, in which the binding sites are mapped to residues 23-108 or 23-52 [30]. Deletion of the OR (rPrP Δ 51–90) abolishes the ability of binding to RNA [31-33]. Recombinant PrP can bind with DNA and RNA molecules in vitro and induces the assembly of condensed nucleoprotein structures [30,34]. A cytoplasmic PrP^C mutant can even interact with mRNAs [35]. Sulfated glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) were detected in PrPSc plagues in the brain of Gerstmann-Sträussler-Scheinker syndrome, CJD and scrapie [36]. Several binding domains for GAGs were identified within N terminal of PrP^C. Residues 23–35 are revealed as a strong binding site for heparin, a highly sulfated GAG [37], and other binding sites include residues 23-52, 53-93, and 110-128 [38]. Accurately, Lys23, Lys24, Lys27, Lys101, and histidine residues in the OR [39] are responsible for the binding. As mentioned previously, although ORs are involved in binding Cu²⁺, Cu²⁺ enhances heparin binding to PrP^C rather than competes with it [37,38,40], which may through a conformational change in the N-terminus of PrP [39]. Multiple iron-containing protoporphyrin IX or hemin molecules bind to residues 34-94 of hamster recombinant PrP (rPrP) [41]. However, the role of hemin-PrP^C interactions remains unclear. Interestingly, some antiprion compounds that prevent the conversion of PrP^C into PrP^{Sc}, like sulfated glycans, sulfonated dyes, and phosphorothioate oligonucleotides, show binding activities to N terminal of PrP^C. They might competitively interact with PrP^C and accordingly block the interaction between PrPC and endogenous GAG that could be required for the conversion to PrP^{Sc} [42,43].

Our lab has identified many protein ligands binding to PrP^C. Tubulin interacts with rPrP and the sites were mapped

in the N-terminus of PrP spanning residues 23–50 and 51– 91, in which PrP octapeptide repeats are critical for the binding activity with tubulin [44]. PrP can interact with microtubule associated protein Tau and the octapeptide repeats within PrP, which directly affects the binding activity of PrP with Tau [45]. Another cell skeleton protein, tubulin polymerization promoting protein, interacts with PrP and the binding site of PrP locates at the segment spanning residues 106–126 [46]. Our data highlight a potential role of PrP in regulating the microtubule dynamics in neurons. The recombinant full length PrP interacts with ApoE by the N-terminal of PrP (aa 23-90) [47] and may be involved in the conformational change of PrP^C. Bioinformatics analysis predicted that a panel of proteins could interact with PrP and some of them have been confirmed experimentally to be able to bind with PrP, even within PrP N-terminus [48]. It highlights that the N-terminal segment of PrP possesses active biological functions.

Besides the wild-type PrP, there are various mutant PrPs, which can cause familial CJD in human. Some structures of PrP mutants have been unraveled. T188K gCJD, which is the most frequent gCJD in China, almost does not alter the native structure of PrP, but perturbs its stability and makes it accumulate more easily [49]. Fatal familial insomnia is the most frequent genetic prion disease in China and the corresponding mutation is D178N/M129. This point mutation is believed to induce the absence of a salt bridge that causes the instability of the mutant PrP [50]. In addition, the mutants of V180I, T183A, E196K, F198S, E200K, R208H, V210I, and E211Q seem to preserve the native state, but the dynamic changes would perturb the coordination of the $\alpha 2$ - $\alpha 3$ hairpin to the rest of the molecule and cause the reorganization of the patches for intermolecular recognition [51].

PrPSc

The most special features of PrPSc are its resistance to protease and its insolubility in detergent. So far, neither crystal nor solution-based NMR has been obtained. However, it is well known that when PrPC converts to PrPSc, the content of B-sheet increases dramatically from about 3%, to roughly 45%, while the content of α -helix reduces slightly from 40% to about 30% [20]. The cleavage site of protease within PrPSc usually locates around the residue 90 and produces the protease-resistant core of aa 90-231, which has an apparent molecular mass of 27-30 kDa, therefore, referred as PrP27-30 [52]. X-ray crystallographic structure of the prion protein from residues 90–231 is available [53]. It has been demonstrated that the crystal structure of the human prion protein is a dimer, which results from the three-dimensional swapping of the C-terminal helix 3 and rearrangement of the disulfide bond. An interchain links

two stranded antiparallel β -sheet is formed at the dimer interface by residues that are located in helix 2 in the monomeric NMR structures. This result has provided the clue for the details of conversion from PrP^{C} to PrP^{Sc} . The computational modeling revealed that substructure for PrP^{Sc} is the trimeric, left-handed β -helices [54]. Additionally, X-ray diffraction patterns obtained from PrP 27–30 fibers were consistent with this model [55].

Prion Amyloid

In the presence of detergent, PrP27-30 polymerizes into amyloid [56]. After formation of amyloid, the PrP can be visualized by Congo red dying. Electron microscopy of negative staining repeatedly demonstrated the irregular rodshaped particles in the lesions [57]. Unlike conventional viruses, prion rods usually are not uniform [58]. Those special features of prion amyloid have been turned into useful detection tools for prion [59]. Although amyloid plaques exist in some kinds or subtypes of animal and human prion diseases [60-62], they are not the indispensable hallmark of prion diseases. Only about 10% of sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease cases show amyloid plaques in their brain tissues, in contrary to kuru that 70% cases contain detectable plaques. Interestingly, all variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD) cases show very special amyloid plaques that are surrounded by a halo of spongiform degeneration, namely florid plaque [63,64], which is used as the definite diagnostic criteria for vCJD. Although partial resistance to protease digestion has been a convenient tool for distinguishing PrPSc from PrPC, not all PrPSc molecules are non-proteolysis [65-69]; these protease-sensitive PrP^{Sc} forms are designated as sensitive PrP^{Sc} (sPrP^{Sc}). Recently, it has been proved that sPrPSc is infectious and shares basic structural features with PK-resistant PrP^{Sc} [70].

Topology of PrP Protein

Besides the different secondary structures of PrP^C and PrP^{Sc}, PrP^C can adopt multiple membrane topologies. As mentioned previously, PrP^C is attached to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane through the GPI anchor, which is referred to SecPrP [71,72]. When expressing the full-length PrP in cultured mammalian cells, two diverse transmembrane orientations may form, which are designated as NtmPrP and CtmPrP [73–79]. NtmPrP and CtmPrP span the lipid bilayer once via a highly conserved hydrophobic region (aa 111–134), leaving the *N* or *C* terminus on the extracytoplasmic side of the membrane, respectively. They are generated along with the normal biosynthesis of wild-type PrP in the endoplasmic reticulum. Expressions of the mutations occurred within or near the transmembrane domain, such as A117V mutation linked to GSS, G114V

linked to fCJD, as well as several 'artificial' mutations not seen in human patients, and the amount of cellular CtmPrP increases [75,80,81]. Moreover, a non-conservative substitution (L9R) within the hydrophobic core of the signal sequence can also enhance the portion of CtmPrP [82]. Combining this mutation with a triple substitution (3AV) within the transmembrane domain results in a molecule that is synthesized exclusively as CtmPrP. Point mutations (M232R and M232T) in the GPI signal peptide (GPI-SP) of the PrP protein, which segregate with familial CJD, also exhibited a CtmPrP topology [83]. CtmPrP is neurotoxic and induces neuron apoptosis. Another topological variant of PrP that has been proposed as a neurotoxic intermediate is cytosolic PrP. The artificial form of PrP, which lacks the signal sequence, presumably favors accumulation of PrP in the cytoplasm [84].

Prion Strains

In contrary to conventional viruses, prions are composed only of proteins, and their replication requires merely the conversion of host PrP^C to PrP^{Sc}. Hence, differences exhibited by prion strains are hard to be attributed to genetic variability [85]. Prion strains isolated from naturally occurred TSEs may vary largely in many essential events, e.g. incubation periods, clinical manifestations, neuropathological characteristics, patterns of PrPSc in brains, PrPSc mobility in electrophoresis, resistances to the detergent and protease, patterns and ratios of three glycosylated PrPSc [66,86–88]. These traits are often conserved on serial transmissions in natural infections or bioassays [89,90]. More and more evidences have indicated that the prion strains can be created in vitro. The artificial prion was first reported in 2004 by Prusiner's group. They have synthesized mouse prion with recombinant PrP protein that causes the wild type and the transgenic mice undergoing neurological dysfunction after inoculation [91]. Subsequently, they have demonstrated that inoculating the mice with the synthetic prions with more labile structure causes experimental TSE with shorter incubation periods. It suggested that except for the factors we have known, the incubation time of TSEs may be affected by the conformations of prions [92]. Furthermore, they have verified that the synthetic protease-sensitive prions are able to cause the transgenic mice Tg9949 (over-expressing N-terminal truncated PrP) to be infected [69]. Wang et al. [93] have successfully generated the infectious prion with the bacterially expressed recombinant PrP protein. Barria et al. [94] also described that de novo generated prions induces a new disease phenotype. It seems that prion strains may arise from conformational variability, that is, PrP can assume several different, self-propagating conformations, each of which enciphers a distinct prion strain. However, the exact

molecular and structural mechanisms between conformational variability and pathological phenotype of prions still remain unclear.

Concluding Remark

In conclusion, the conversion from PrP^C to PrP^{Sc} is the most important event in pathogenesis of prion diseases, but there are still many gaps, especially the association of the tertiary structure of prion with the diverse pathologies of TSEs, such as, existences of numerous human and animal prion strains and various human genetic prion diseases, need to be filled. Therefore, continuous efforts for understanding the relationship between structure and phenotype of prion may shed light on the mysterious processing and develop the therapy for the disease.

Funding

This work was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81101302, 31270185), China Mega-Project for Infectious Disease (2011ZX10004-101, 2012ZX10004215), and SKLID Development Grant (2012SKLID102).

References

- 1 Collinge J. Prion diseases of humans and animals: their causes and molecular basis. Annu Rev Neurosci 2001, 24: 519–550.
- 2 Colby DW and Prusiner SB. Prions. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2011, 3: a006833.
- 3 Moore RC, Lee IY, Silverman GL, Harrison PM, Strome R, Heinrich C and Karunaratne A, *et al.* Ataxia in prion protein (PrP)-deficient mice is associated with upregulation of the novel PrP-like protein doppel. J Mol Biol 1999, 292: 797–817.
- 4 Watts JC and Westaway D. The prion protein family: diversity, rivalry, and dysfunction. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007, 1772: 654–672.
- 5 Hsiao K, Baker HF, Crow TJ, Poulter M, Owen F, Terwilliger JD and Westaway D, et al. Linkage of a prion protein missense variant to Gerstmann-Straussler syndrome. Nature 1989, 338: 342–345.
- 6 Basler K, Oesch B, Scott M, Westaway D, Walchli M, Groth DF and McKinley MP, et al. Scrapie and cellular PrP isoforms are encoded by the same chromosomal gene. Cell 1986, 46: 417–428.
- 7 Gabriel JM, Oesch B, Kretzschmar H, Scott M and Prusiner SB. Molecular cloning of a candidate chicken prion protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992, 89: 9097–9101.
- 8 Westaway D, Goodman PA, Mirenda CA, McKinley MP, Carlson GA and Prusiner SB. Distinct prion proteins in short and long scrapie incubation period mice. Cell 1987, 51: 651–662.
- 9 Westaway D, Mirenda CA, Foster D, Zebarjadian Y, Scott M, Torchia M and Yang SL, et al. Paradoxical shortening of scrapie incubation times by expression of prion protein transgenes derived from long incubation period mice. Neuron 1991, 7: 59–68.
- 10 Yoshimoto J, Iinuma T, Ishiguro N, Horiuchi M, Imamura M and Shinagawa M. Comparative sequence analysis and expression of bovine PrP gene in mouse L-929 cells. Virus Genes 1992, 6: 343–656.

- 11 Westaway D, Cooper C, Turner S, Da Costa M, Carlson GA and Prusiner SB. Structure and polymorphism of the mouse prion protein gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, 91: 6418–6422.
- 12 Westaway D, Zuliani V, Cooper CM, Da Costa M, Neuman S, Jenny AL and Detwiler L, et al. Homozygosity for prion protein alleles encoding glutamine-171 renders sheep susceptible to natural scrapie. Genes Dev 1994. 8: 959–969.
- 13 Saeki K, Matsumoto Y, Hirota Y and Onodera T. Three-exon structure of the gene encoding the rat prion protein and its expression in tissues. Virus Genes 1996, 12: 15-20.
- 14 Li G and Bolton DC. A novel hamster prion protein mRNA contains an extra exon: increased expression in scrapie. Brain Res 1997, 751: 265–274.
- 15 Lee IY, Westaway D, Smit AF, Wang K, Seto J, Chen L and Acharya C, et al. Complete genomic sequence and analysis of the prion protein gene region from three mammalian species. Genome Res 1998, 8: 1022–1037.
- 16 McKnight S and Tjian R. Transcriptional selectivity of viral genes in mammalian cells. Cell 1986, 46: 795–805.
- 17 Kretzschmar HA, Prusiner SB, Stowring LE and DeArmond SJ. Scrapie prion proteins are synthesized in neurons. Am J Pathol 1986, 122: 1–5.
- 18 Riek R, Hornemann S, Wider G, Glockshuber R and Wuthrich K. NMR characterization of the full-length recombinant murine prion protein, mPrP(23-231). FEBS Lett 1997, 413: 282-288.
- 19 Riek R, Hornemann S, Wider G, Billeter M, Glockshuber R and Wuthrich K. NMR structure of the mouse prion protein domain PrP(121-231). Nature. 1996, 382: 180-182.
- 20 Pan KM, Baldwin M, Nguyen J, Gasset M, Serban A, Groth D and Mehlhorn I, et al. Conversion of alpha-helices into beta-sheets features in the formation of the scrapie prion proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1993, 90: 10962–10966.
- 21 Zahn R, Liu A, Luhrs T, Riek R, von Schroetter C, Lopez Garcia F and Billeter M, *et al.* NMR solution structure of the human prion protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97: 145–150.
- 22 Antonyuk SV, Trevitt CR, Strange RW, Jackson GS, Sangar D, Batchelor M and Cooper S, et al. Crystal structure of human prion protein bound to a therapeutic antibody. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106: 2554–2558.
- 23 Klewpatinond M, Davies P, Bowen S, Brown DR and Viles JH. Deconvoluting the Cu²⁺ binding modes of full-length prion protein. J Biol Chem 2008, 283: 1870–1881.
- 24 Viles JH, Cohen FE, Prusiner SB, Goodin DB, Wright PE and Dyson HJ. Copper binding to the prion protein: structural implications of four identical cooperative binding sites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96: 2042–2047.
- 25 Jackson GS, Murray I, Hosszu LL, Gibbs N, Waltho JP, Clarke AR and Collinge J. Location and properties of metal-binding sites on the human prion protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98: 8531–8535.
- 26 Brockes JP. Topics in prion cell biology. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1999, 9: 571–577.
- 27 Weiss JH, Sensi SL and Koh JY. Zn²⁺: a novel ionic mediator of neural injury in brain disease. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2000, 21: 395–401.
- 28 Brazier MW, Davies P, Player E, Marken F, Viles JH and Brown DR. Manganese binding to the prion protein. J Biol Chem 2008, 283: 12831–12839.
- 29 Morillas M, Swietnicki W, Gambetti P and Surewicz WK. Membrane environment alters the conformational structure of the recombinant human prion protein. J Biol Chem 1999, 274: 36859–36865.
- 30 Gabus C, Auxilien S, Pechoux C, Dormont D, Swietnicki W, Morillas M and Surewicz W, et al. The prion protein has DNA strand transfer properties similar to retroviral nucleocapsid protein. J Mol Biol 2001, 307: 1011–1021
- 31 Gomes MP, Millen TA, Ferreira PS, Silva NL, Vieira TC, Almeida MS and Silva JL, et al. Prion protein complexed to N2a cellular RNAs through

- its N-terminal domain forms aggregates and is toxic to murine neuroblastoma cells. J Biol Chem 2008, 283: 19616–19625.
- 32 Sekiya S, Noda K, Nishikawa F, Yokoyama T, Kumar PK and Nishikawa S. Characterization and application of a novel RNA aptamer against the mouse prion protein. J Biochem 2006, 139: 383–390.
- 33 Weiss S, Proske D, Neumann M, Groschup MH, Kretzschmar HA, Famulok M and Winnacker EL. RNA aptamers specifically interact with the prion protein PrP. J Virol 1997, 71: 8790–8797.
- 34 Gabus C, Derrington E, Leblanc P, Chnaiderman J, Dormont D, Swietnicki W and Morillas M, et al. The prion protein has RNA binding and chaperoning properties characteristic of nucleocapsid protein NCP7 of HIV-1. J Biol Chem 2001, 276: 19301–19309.
- 35 Goggin K, Beaudoin S, Grenier C, Brown AA and Roucou X. Prion protein aggresomes are poly(A)⁺ ribonucleoprotein complexes that induce a PKR-mediated deficient cell stress response. Biochim Biophys Acta 2008 1783: 479–491
- 36 Snow AD, Wight TN, Nochlin D, Koike Y, Kimata K, DeArmond SJ and Prusiner SB. Immunolocalization of heparan sulfate proteoglycans to the prion protein amyloid plaques of Gerstmann-Straussler syndrome, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and scrapie. Lab Invest 1990, 63: 601–611.
- 37 Pan T, Wong BS, Liu T, Li R, Petersen RB and Sy MS. Cell-surface prion protein interacts with glycosaminoglycans. Biochem J 2002, 368: 81–90.
- 38 Warner RG, Hundt C, Weiss S and Turnbull JE. Identification of the heparan sulfate binding sites in the cellular prion protein. J Biol Chem 2002, 277: 18421–18430.
- 39 Taubner LM, Bienkiewicz EA, Copie V and Caughey B. Structure of the flexible amino-terminal domain of prion protein bound to a sulfated glycan. J Mol Biol 2010, 395: 475–490.
- 40 Gonzalez-Iglesias R, Pajares MA, Ocal C, Espinosa JC, Oesch B and Gasset M. Prion protein interaction with glycosaminoglycan occurs with the formation of oligomeric complexes stabilized by Cu(II) bridges. J Mol Biol 2002, 319: 527–540.
- 41 Lee KS, Raymond LD, Schoen B, Raymond GJ, Kett L, Moore RA and Johnson LM, et al. Hemin interactions and alterations of the subcellular localization of prion protein. J Biol Chem 2007, 282: 36525–36533.
- 42 Caughey B, Brown K, Raymond GJ, Katzenstein GE and Thresher W. Binding of the protease-sensitive form of PrP (prion protein) to sulfated glycosaminoglycan and congo red [corrected]. J Virol 1994, 68: 2135–2141.
- 43 Kocisko DA, Vaillant A, Lee KS, Arnold KM, Bertholet N, Race RE and Olsen EA, et al. Potent antiscrapie activities of degenerate phosphorothioate oligonucleotides. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006, 50: 1034–1044.
- 44 Dong CF, Shi S, Wang XF, An R, Li P, Chen JM and Wang X, et al. The N-terminus of PrP is responsible for interacting with tubulin and fCJD related PrP mutants possess stronger inhibitive effect on microtubule assembly in vitro. Arch Biochem Biophys 2008, 470: 83–92.
- 45 Wang XF, Dong CF, Zhang J, Wan YZ, Li F, Huang YX and Han L, et al. Human tau protein forms complex with PrP and some GSS- and fCJD-related PrP mutants possess stronger binding activities with tau in vitro. Mol Cell Biochem 2008, 310: 49–55.
- 46 Zhou RM, Jing YY, Guo Y, Gao C, Zhang BY, Chen C and Shi Q, et al. Molecular interaction of TPPP with PrP antagonized the CytoPrP-induced disruption of microtubule structures and cytotoxicity. PLoS One 2011, 6: e23079.
- 47 Gao C, Lei YJ, Han J, Shi Q, Chen L, Guo Y and Gao YJ, et al. Recombinant neural protein PrP can bind with both recombinant and native apolipoprotein E in vitro. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin 2006, 38: 593–601.
- 48 Satoh J, Obayashi S, Misawa T, Sumiyoshi K, Oosumi K and Tabunoki H. Protein microarray analysis identifies human cellular prion protein interactors. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2009, 35: 16–35.

- 49 Guo J, Ning L, Ren H, Liu H and Yao X. Influence of the pathogenic mutations T188K/R/A on the structural stability and misfolding of human prion protein: insight from molecular dynamics simulations. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012, 1820: 116–123.
- 50 Watanabe Y, Hiraoka W, Shimoyama Y, Horiuchi M, Kuwabara M and Inanami O. Instability of familial spongiform encephalopathy-related prion mutants. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008, 366: 244–249.
- 51 Meli M, Gasset M and Colombo G. Dynamic diagnosis of familial prion diseases supports the beta2-alpha2 loop as a universal interference target. PLoS One 2011. 6: e19093.
- 52 Prusiner SB, Groth DF, Bolton DC, Kent SB and Hood LE. Purification and structural studies of a major scrapie prion protein. Cell 1984, 38: 127–134.
- 53 Knaus KJ, Morillas M, Swietnicki W, Malone M, Surewicz WK and Yee VC. Crystal structure of the human prion protein reveals a mechanism for oligomerization. Nat Struct Biol 2001, 8: 770–774.
- 54 Govaerts C, Wille H, Prusiner SB and Cohen FE. Evidence for assembly of prions with left-handed beta-helices into trimers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004. 101: 8342–8347.
- 55 Wille H, Bian W, McDonald M, Kendall A, Colby DW, Bloch L and Ollesch J, et al. Natural and synthetic prion structure from X-ray fiber diffraction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106: 16990–16995.
- 56 McKinley MP, Meyer RK, Kenaga L, Rahbar F, Cotter R, Serban A and Prusiner SB. Scrapie prion rod formation *in vitro* requires both detergent extraction and limited proteolysis. J Virol 1991, 65: 1340–1351.
- 57 Prusiner SB, McKinley MP, Bowman KA, Bolton DC, Bendheim PE, Groth DF and Glenner GG. Scrapie prions aggregate to form amyloid-like birefringent rods. Cell 1983, 35: 349–358.
- 58 Williams RC. Electron microscopy of viruses. Adv Virus Res 1954, 2: 183-239.
- 59 Colby DW, Zhang Q, Wang S, Groth D, Legname G, Riesner D and Prusiner SB. Prion detection by an amyloid seeding assay. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, 104: 20914–20919.
- 60 DeArmond SJ, McKinley MP, Barry RA, Braunfeld MB, McColloch JR and Prusiner SB. Identification of prion amyloid filaments in scrapie-infected brain. Cell 1985, 41: 221–235.
- 61 Kitamoto T, Tateishi J, Tashima T, Takeshita I, Barry RA, DeArmond SJ and Prusiner SB. Amyloid plaques in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease stain with prion protein antibodies. Ann Neurol 1986, 20: 204–208.
- 62 Roberts GW, Lofthouse R, Brown R, Crow TJ, Barry RA and Prusiner SB. Prion-protein immunoreactivity in human transmissible dementias. N Engl J Med 1986, 315: 1231–1233.
- 63 Klatzo I, Gajdusek DC and Zigas V. Pathology of Kuru. Lab Invest 1959, 8: 799–847.
- 64 Will RG, Ironside JW, Zeidler M, Cousens SN, Estibeiro K, Alperovitch A and Poser S, et al. A new variant of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in the UK. Lancet 1996, 347: 921–925.
- 65 Hsiao KK, Groth D, Scott M, Yang SL, Serban H, Rapp D and Foster D, et al. Serial transmission in rodents of neurodegeneration from transgenic mice expressing mutant prion protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1994, 91: 9126–9130.
- 66 Telling GC, Parchi P, DeArmond SJ, Cortelli P, Montagna P, Gabizon R and Mastrianni J, et al. Evidence for the conformation of the pathologic isoform of the prion protein enciphering and propagating prion diversity. Science 1996, 274: 2079–2082.
- 67 Safar J, Wille H, Itri V, Groth D, Serban H, Torchia M and Cohen FE, et al. Eight prion strains have PrP(Sc) molecules with different conformations. Nat Med 1998, 4: 1157–1165.
- 68 Gambetti P, Dong Z, Yuan J, Xiao X, Zheng M, Alshekhlee A and Castellani R, *et al.* A novel human disease with abnormal prion protein sensitive to protease. Ann Neurol. 2008, 63: 697–708.
- 69 Colby DW, Wain R, Baskakov IV, Legname G, Palmer CG, Nguyen HO and Lemus A, et al. Protease-sensitive synthetic prions. PLoS Pathog 2010, 6: e1000736.

- 70 Sajnani G, Silva CJ, Ramos A, Pastrana MA, Onisko BC, Erickson ML and Antaki EM, et al. PK-sensitive PrP is infectious and shares basic structural features with PK-resistant PrP. PLoS Pathog 2012, 8: e1002547.
- 71 Stahl N, Borchelt DR and Prusiner SB. Differential release of cellular and scrapie prion proteins from cellular membranes by phosphatidylinositolspecific phospholipase C. Biochemistry 1990, 29: 5405-5412.
- 72 Lehmann S and Harris DA. A mutant prion protein displays an aberrant membrane association when expressed in cultured cells. J Biol Chem 1995, 270: 24589–24597.
- 73 Rutkowski DT, Lingappa VR and Hegde RS. Substrate-specific regulation of the ribosome-translocon junction by N-terminal signal sequences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98: 7823-7828.
- 74 De Fea KA, Nakahara DH, Calayag MC, Yost CS, Mirels LF, Prusiner SB and Lingappa VR. Determinants of carboxyl-terminal domain translocation during prion protein biogenesis. J Biol Chem 1994, 269: 16810–16820.
- 75 Hegde RS, Mastrianni JA, Scott MR, DeFea KA, Tremblay P, Torchia M and DeArmond SJ, et al. A transmembrane form of the prion protein in neurodegenerative disease. Science 1998, 279: 827–834.
- 76 Holscher C, Bach UC and Dobberstein B. Prion protein contains a second endoplasmic reticulum targeting signal sequence located at its C terminus. J Biol Chem 2001, 276: 13388–13894.
- 77 Kim SJ, Rahbar R and Hegde RS. Combinatorial control of prion protein biogenesis by the signal sequence and transmembrane domain. J Biol Chem 2001, 276: 26132–26140.
- 78 Kim SJ and Hegde RS. Cotranslational partitioning of nascent prion protein into multiple populations at the translocation channel. Mol Biol Cell 2002, 13: 3775–3786.
- 79 Shi Q and Dong XP. (Ctm)PrP and ER stress: a neurotoxic mechanism of some special PrP mutants. Prion 2011, 5: 123-125.
- 80 Hegde RS, Tremblay P, Groth D, DeArmond SJ, Prusiner SB and Lingappa VR. Transmissible and genetic prion diseases share a common pathway of neurodegeneration. Nature 1999, 402: 822–826.
- 81 Wang X, Shi Q, Xu K, Gao C, Chen C, Li XL and Wang GR, et al. Familial CJD associated PrP mutants within transmembrane region induced Ctm-PrP retention in ER and triggered apoptosis by ER stress in SH-SY5Y cells. PLoS One 2011, 6: e14602.

- 82 Stewart RS, Drisaldi B and Harris DA. A transmembrane form of the prion protein contains an uncleaved signal peptide and is retained in the endoplasmic Reticulum. Mol Biol Cell 2001, 12: 881–889.
- 83 Gu Y, Singh A, Bose S and Singh N. Pathogenic mutations in the glycosylphosphatidylinositol signal peptide of PrP modulate its topology in neuroblastoma cells. Mol Cell Neurosci 2008, 37: 647–656.
- 84 Ma J, Wollmann R and Lindquist S. Neurotoxicity and neurodegeneration when PrP accumulates in the cytosol. Science 2002, 298: 1781–1785.
- 85 Colby DW and Prusiner SB. De novo generation of prion strains. Nat Rev Microbiol 2011, 9: 771–777.
- 86 Bessen RA and Marsh RF. Distinct PrP properties suggest the molecular basis of strain variation in transmissible mink encephalopathy. J Virol 1994. 68: 7859–7868.
- 87 Collinge J, Sidle KC, Meads J, Ironside J and Hill AF. Molecular analysis of prion strain variation and the aetiology of 'new variant' CJD. Nature 1996. 383: 685–690.
- 88 Peretz D, Scott MR, Groth D, Williamson RA, Burton DR, Cohen FE and Prusiner SB. Strain-specified relative conformational stability of the scrapie prion protein. Protein Sci 2001, 10: 854–863.
- 89 Dickinson AG and Meikle VM. A comparison of some biological characteristics of the mouse-passaged scrapie agents, 22A and ME7. Genet Res 1969, 13: 213–225.
- 90 Fraser H and Dickinson AG. Scrapie in mice. Agent-strain differences in the distribution and intensity of grey matter vacuolation. J Comp Pathol 1973, 83: 29–40.
- 91 Legname G, Baskakov IV, Nguyen HO, Riesner D, Cohen FE, DeArmond SJ and Prusiner SB. Synthetic mammalian prions. Science 2004, 305: 673-676.
- 92 Colby DW, Giles K, Legname G, Wille H, Baskakov IV, DeArmond SJ and Prusiner SB. Design and construction of diverse mammalian prion strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106: 20417–20422.
- 93 Wang F, Wang X, Yuan CG and Ma J. Generating a prion with bacterially expressed recombinant prion protein. Science 2010, 327: 1132–1135.
- 94 Barria MA, Mukherjee A, Gonzalez-Romero D, Morales R and Soto C. De novo generation of infectious prions in vitro produces a new disease phenotype. PLoS Pathog 2009, 5: e1000421.