

BUYING BEHAVIOUR AND PERCEPTION OF RETAIL INVESTORS TOWARDS MUTUAL FUND SCHEMES**DIMPLE****JUNIOR RESEARCH FELLOW****HARYANA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS****GURU JAMBHESHWAR UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY****HISAR****RITU****EXTENSION LECTURER****GOVERNMENT (P.G.) COLLEGE****HISAR****ABSTRACT**

It is always a complex process to make a good investment. There are sorts of investment avenues where investors can use their savings and get reimbursement as per their earning. Several investors' purchase mutual fund because it invites the prospective investors to join the fund by offering various schemes according to their requirement. The purpose of this research paper is to find out the importance of those factors which influencing the investment decision of retail investors during mutual fund schemes. In order to obtain the objectives of this study we use both primary and secondary data. The primary data has been collected by questionnaire filled by 200 mutual fund investors. The results of the present study revealed that quality of fund/scheme is the highly important factor considered by the selected mutual fund investors. This study also discovered that Investors related services are least important factor for the selected sampled investors. Findings of the study will have some useful managerial implications for the AMCs in their product designing, marketing and management of the fund and may also help in making cost effective strategic decisions and hence would be of interest to both existing and new mutual fund managers and individual investors.

KEYWORDS

Retail investors, Mutual Fund, Investment.

INTRODUCTION

In financial markets, "expectations" of the investors play a vital role. These 'expectations' of the investors are influenced by their "perception" and humans generally relate perception to action. Mutual fund is a professionally managed firm of collective investments that collect money from investors and invest it in stock, bond and short term money market instrument. By the definition of AMFI "Mutual fund in India is a kind of collective investment that is managed professionally. In Mutual fund in India, the money is collected from a large number of investors and then it is invested in bonds, stocks, and various other securities. The fund manager of Mutual fund in India collects the interest income which is then distributed among the individual investors on the basis of the number of units that they hold". Mutual fund industry is regulated by the Securities Exchange Board of India. In India there are various companies which are dealing in mutual fund such as Reliance Mutual Funds, HDFC, ABN Amro, AIG, Bank of Baroda, Canara Bank, Birla Sun Life, DSP Merrill Lynch, DBS Chola Mandalam AMC, Escorts Mutual, Deutsche Bank, ING, HSBC, UTI, ICICI Prudential and LIC. In order to find out the best performing mutual fund in market, one can use its net assets value (NAV). In current scenario most popular mutual funds are Reliance Growth Fund, HDFC Top 200 Fund, HDFC Equity Fund, ICICI Pru Infrastructure, Reliance Natural Resources, Reliance Vision Fund, DSP-BR India T.I.G.E.R., SBI Magnum Contra Fund, Fidelity Equity Fund, Franklin India Bluechip, UTI Infra-Advantage – Sr 1, DSP-BR Top 100 Equity and Tata Infrastructure Fund etc. The purpose of this study is to find out those factors, investing characteristics, decision making process which influences the behavior of selected mutual fund investors.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

This paragraph gives a brief review of literature regarding various studies conducted on investor perception, preferences and behavior. Fitzsimons et al. (1994) conducted a study on approximately 300 affluent investors to understand mutual fund investor's decision making process and the factors they consider while taking a purchase decision about mutual fund. This survey exposed that investors invest in mutual fund due to less risk associated with mutual fund investment. A study related to the manner in which investors make their investment decision is conducted by Capon et al. (1996) and carried a study to investigate the manner in which consumers make investment decision for mutual funds. They reported that investors considered many non-performance related factors. A study was carried by Sikidar and Singh (1996) conducted a study to understand the behavioral aspects of the investors of the North Eastern region towards equity and mutual funds investment portfolio. The survey concluded that major investment in mutual fund is by salaried and self employed people due to tax concessions. Khaneman and Riepe (1998) carried out various aspects of investors psychology and it was concluded that the client should be aware of the uncertainty which is attached with the investment and Broker should communicate realistic odd success to the client before making an investment decision consider the possibility that the trace is based on random factors through historical volatilities and covariance. A study has been done by Rajeswari (1998) to know the factors influencing fund/scheme selection behaviour of retail investors. The main objective of the survey is to understand the saving preference among mutual fund investors, scheme preference of investors and information source. It was revealed that most preferred investment vehicle is bank deposit and mutual fund is not preferred at that time. Chakarabarti and Rungta (2000) carried out a survey of mutual fund investors. The study concluded that the brand image factor is basically influencing the investors for investing in mutual fund schemes. This brand image cannot be easily captured by computable performance measures. It influences the investor's perception and hence his fund/scheme selection behaviour.

Ranganathan (2006) examined the related aspects of the fund selection behaviour of individual investors towards mutual fund in Mumbai city. The major objective behind this study was to assess the saving objectives and identify the preferred saving avenue among individual investors. It was concluded that pension and provident funds is the most popular saving instrument. Bergstresser et al. (2009) conducted a survey to quantify the benefits that investors enjoy in exchange for the costs of these services. It was resulted that broker-sold and direct-sold funds from 1996 to 2004 and fail to find that brokers deliver substantial tangible benefits. It was concluded that brokers deliver substantial intangible benefits that do not observe and there are material conflicts of interest between brokers and their clients. Laibson et al. (2009) studied, why individuals investors invest in high-fee index mutual funds and revealed that investors buy high-fee index funds because of bundled non portfolio services. Fees paid decrease with financial literacy. Interestingly, investors who choose high-fee funds sense they are making a mistake.

Khalid et al. (2010) carried out a research to evaluate the performance of close end mutual funds using two new ratios concluded that the close end mutual are not performing well due changes in the capital market. The managers need to be more efficient to earn good returns and use such strategies which can ensure better returns. Hartzalli et al. (2010) who conducted a research to evaluate the performance of real estate mutual funds concluded that funds which are actively managed by the managers due aggressive and timing market strategy are more likely to generate the higher return for the investors. Moreover, funds whose tendency is not to invest in single segment, for them, other benchmark is selected based on the preferred horizon of investment. Moreover, fund can earn

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

ijrcm



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

as well as in Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]

Registered & Listed at: Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1500 Cities in 141 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY ON CATCHMENT AREA ANALYSIS AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION TOWARDS BIG BAZAAR WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO VADAPALANI BRANCH, CHENNAI <i>DR. VIMALA SANJEEVKUMAR, DR. SRI RAMACHANDRAN, PAVAN KUMAR .U & S. DHANALAKSHMI</i>	1
2.	THE EFFECT OF MARKET ATTITUDE ON INNOVATION AND NEW PRODUCT PERFORMANCE <i>FAKHRADDIN MAROOFI</i>	8
3.	THE APPRAISAL OF THE EFFECT OF STAFFS' ENTREPRENEURIAL SPIRIT ON THE QUALITY DEVELOPMENT OF HUMAN CAPITAL: A CASE STUDY OF SHAHID HASHEMI NEJAD GAS REFINING COMPANY <i>MOHAMMAD MOSAVI, MOHAMMAD LASHKARY, MOHAMMAD MEHDI GHOMIAN & JAVAD HASANZADEH</i>	16
4.	RELATING CORPORATE GOVERNANCE WITH MARKET VALUATION AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON KSE PAKISTAN <i>SUMAIRA ASLAM., MADIHA LATIF., DR. MUHAMMAD ABDUL MAJID MAKKI & HASSAN MUJTABA NAWAZ SALEEM</i>	22
5.	HUMAN RESOURCE PLANNING (HRP): INSIGHTS FROM THE COMMERCIAL BANK OF CEYLON (CBC) <i>MAKSUDA HOSSAIN, ABU MD. ABDULLAH & AFSANA PERVINE</i>	28
6.	MANAGEMENT, LABOUR PROCESS AND WORKERS OWN CONSTRUCTION OF SOCIAL RELATIONS OF PRODUCTION IN AN OIL REFINERY, NIGERIA <i>DR. OLUSEGUN OLADEINDE</i>	34
7.	PATH-GOAL THEORY OF LEADERSHIP STYLE IN THE STRUCTURAL FORM OF SELF HELP GROUP <i>DR. C. SATAPATHY & SABITA MISHRA</i>	38
8.	THE STUDY OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF NATIONALIZED BANKS DURING 2006-2010 <i>YOGESH PURI & DR. SHAMBHU KUMAR</i>	42
9.	AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE BEHAVIOUR OF RURAL CONSUMERS TOWARDS FMCGs <i>JYOTI PRADHAN & DR. DEVI PRASAD MISRA</i>	52
10.	PROBLEMS & PROSPECTS OF AGRICULTURE EXPORTS IN THE EMERGING SCENARIO <i>DR. M. L. GUPTA & DR. REKHA GARG</i>	59
11.	PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN INDIA - AN INVESTIGATIVE STUDY IN CHITTOOR DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH <i>DR. C. VISWANATHA REDDY</i>	62
12.	CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS: AN INTER AND INTRA-INDUSTRY STUDY <i>DR. HAMENDRA KUMAR PORWAL & RABMEET KAUR</i>	71
13.	MANAGERIAL USES OF HUMAN RESOURCE ACCOUNTING: A SURVEY <i>REETA & UPASNA JOSHI</i>	77
14.	BORDER TRADE VIS-À-VIS INDIA'S LOOK EAST POLICY: A CASE STUDY OF MANIPUR <i>DR. N. TEJMANI SINGH & P. CHINGLEN SINGH</i>	80
15.	NEW RURAL MARKETING STRATEGIES OF FMCG COMPANIES IN INDIA: A STUDY OF SELECTED RURAL MARKETS OF PUNJAB AND MADHYA PRADESH <i>JAGDEEP SINGH ARORA & POONAM ARORA</i>	85
16.	A STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL INCLUSION IN INDIA <i>DIGANTA KR. MUDOI</i>	91
17.	AWARENESS TOWARDS VARIOUS ASPECTS OF INSURANCE: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN <i>DR. DHIRAJ JAIN</i>	95
18.	IMPACT OF MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF COMPANIES <i>GOVIND M. DHINAIYA</i>	102
19.	FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT: IMPORTANCE, GROWTH & EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN INDIA <i>KIRTIKUMAR L. EKHANDI</i>	107
20.	AN INVESTIGATION ON BRAND PREFERENCE AMONG SPORT SHOE CONSUMERS: A CROSS SECTIONAL INVESTIGATION <i>DR. GAJANANA PRABHU B</i>	110
21.	FACTORS AFFECTING BEHAVIOR OF INDIAN STOCK MARKET <i>KUMAR SAURABH</i>	116
22.	CORPORATE GREENING: A STUDY OF RESPONSIVENESS OF FIRMS IN THE CONTEXT OF INDIAN HOTEL INDUSTRY <i>DR. ROOPA T.N. & NISHA RAJAN</i>	122
23.	LEVEL OF CUSTOMER SATISFACTION - A STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO INDIAN BANK, MAYILADUTHURAI BRANCH <i>DR. S.MAYILVAGANAN & G. KARTHIKEYAN</i>	128
24.	CUSTOMER GAP ANALYSIS IN ORGANISED RETAILING – AN EMPIRICAL STUDY <i>MOHMED IRFAN, DR. AMULYA. M & EVERIL JACKLIN FERNANDES</i>	133
25.	PERFORMANCE OF SHGs CREDIT LINKAGE PROGRAMMES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS <i>DR. S. VENKATESH & GOVINDARAJU, M.S.</i>	138
26.	MUTUAL FUND PERFORMANCE: AN ANALYSIS OF INDEX FUNDS <i>SHIVANI INDER & DR. SHIKHA VOHRA</i>	143
27.	BUYING BEHAVIOUR AND PERCEPTION OF RETAIL INVESTORS TOWARDS MUTUAL FUND SCHEMES <i>DIMPLE & RITU</i>	147
28.	THE IMPACT OF PERSON-ORGANIZATION VALUE CONGRUENCE ON ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT IN A PUBLIC SECTOR ORGANIZATION <i>PRACHI AGARWAL & PRIYANKA SAGAR</i>	151
29.	CARBON CREDITS ACCOUNTING REFLEXION IN THE BALANCE SHEET – AN ACCOUNTANT'S PERSPECTIVE <i>DR. P HANUMANTHA RAO & DR. B. VENKATA RAO</i>	157
30.	A LEGAL PERSPECTIVE OF BANK GUARANTEE SYSTEM IN INDIA <i>MOHD YASIN WANI & RAIS AHMAD QAZI</i>	161
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	165

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, YanbuIndustrialCollege, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

UniversitySchool of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

PROF. N. SUNDARAM

VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: infoijrcm@gmail.com.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '_____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

superior return on the basis of information available to the fund manager because the manager can use this information to come up with the best diversified portfolio to earn more return.

Sai kishore (2011) conducted a study on mutual funds in India. This study shows that mainly there are two types of funds equity and debt funds. Diversified equity has done very well while sartorial categories have fared poorly in Indian market. Index Funds have delivered much less compared to actively managed Funds. Gilt and Income Funds have performed very well during the last three years. They perform best in a falling interest environment. Since interest rates are now much lower, short term Funds are preferable. Diversified equity – Zurich Equity, Franklin India Bluechip, Sundaram Growth. These Funds show good resilience giving positive results. Gilt Funds – DSP Merrill Lynch, Tata GSF, and HDFC Gilt have done well. Income Fund – HDFC, Alliance, Escorts and Zurich are top performers Short Term Funds – Pru ICICI, Franklin Templeton is recommended.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The overall aim is to conduct a study on mutual fund selection behaviour of retail investors. It can be further divided in to the following sub-objectives:

1. To understand the investment avenue preference among mutual fund investors.
2. To know the preference of mutual fund investors regarding investment objectives and mutual fund schemes.
3. To identify the importance of those factors which influencing the investment decision of retail investors.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Data has been collected from both primary and secondary sources. The primary data has been collected by a structured questionnaire filled by two hundred MF investors. The secondary data has been collected from various websites, journal, books and Newspapers etc. A sample of two hundred mutual fund investors belonging to Hisar city has been selected based on convenience. Statistical techniques have been used to analyze the data, such as mean, ranking and chi square test. Mean and ranking have been used to calculate the preference for investment avenues, objective of mutual fund investment, type of mutual fund scheme preference and category of mutual fund etc. Chi square test has been used to check the importance given by mutual fund investors for the various factors such as quality of fund/scheme, Fund sponsor qualities and investors related services. Further these three factors have divided into various sub-factors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mutual funds have emerged as an important investment avenue for retail investors. Objective of this study is to understand the buying behavior and perception of mutual fund investors. The respondents were given a list of nine investment avenues in which they would prefer to invest. They were asked to rank 1 for most preferred and 9 for least preferred Investment Avenue. From the responses recorded, mean values were calculated. The analysis of the Table 1 reveals that Bank Deposit and Cash secures the first and second rank respectively among all the investment avenues. Share/Bonds and UTI & Mutual fund both have been carried the same mean rank of 4.63 and taken the same position among preference for investment avenues (3.5). This reconfirms the preference of investors for Bank Deposit, Cash, Share/Bonds and mutual fund schemes because of safety of investment, liquidity available and regular or reasonable return associated with these avenues. Next comes Insurance Scheme (4.76), Gold (5.46), Real Estate (5.50), Postal Scheme (5.59). Pension & PF (5.86) has been least preferred because of less benefit associated with these schemes. To sum up, it can be said that mutual fund preferred at 3.5 ranks by mutual fund investors in all nine investment avenues.

In Table 2 it is observed that majority of the investors prefer 'Growth' scheme as these schemes has feature of capital appreciation boon with Investment Avenue. Balanced schemes stand at second rank because it has the feature of both appreciations in stock as well as regular return in the shape of interest and dividend. A large number of mutual funds have come up with schemes which ensure tax benefits to the subscribers besides some income and small appreciation in value of units so, tax saving schemes gets third rank. Money market schemes catch forth rank. Income scheme has been carried the fifth rank. These funds aim at providing maximum current return/income to the investors. Index fund and fixed maturity plans are least preferred. Thus, the findings from Table No. 2 and 3 reconfirms each other that good return/ capital appreciation, safety and tax benefit are the most important objective of mutual fund investment and that is why growth, balanced and tax saving schemes are most preferred by sample respondents.

The respondents were given a list of 5 objectives of mutual fund investment. The objectives of mutual funds have been ranked on five point scale from most preferred to least preferred. From the responses recorded in Table 3, mean values were calculated. On the basis of these mean ranks the investors give most preference to 'Good return/Capital appreciation' than 'Safety' and tax benefits with mean rank of 1.70, 3.12 and 3.60 respectively That's why they prefer the 'Bank Deposit' and 'Cash' as an investment avenues due to safest avenues and then 'Mutual funds' and 'Share/Bonds' investment avenues because they get reasonable return along with safety and tax benefits. After that, they prefer the liquidity and diversification respectively.

Table 4, presented that quality of the fund/scheme is considered as main factor while investors invest in mutual fund. The quality of fund/scheme consist ten sub factors such as fund performance record, fund reputation/brand, scheme's expenses ratio, scheme's portfolio of investment, reputation of fund manager, withdrawal facilities, favorable credit rating, innovativeness of scheme, product with tax benefit and entry and exit load. After that, they give importance to fund sponsor quality. Fund sponsor quality include a number of variable such as reputation of sponsor, sponsor has a well developed network, sponsor's expertise in managing money, sponsor has a well developed research wing and sponsor's past performance in term of risk and return. Less importance is given to investor related services like disclosure of investment objective in the advertisement; disclosure of method and periodicity in documents, disclosure of NAV on every trading day, mutual fund's investor's grievance redresses machinery and fringe benefits. Further, the investor's preference for these sub-factors has been measured. Investor's decision to invest in a particular mutual fund is most affected by a number of sub factor comprising qualities of fund/scheme.

Five point likert scale of highly important, important, somewhat important, not very important and not at all important were the respondents' choices. The result of table 5 showed that the investors give more preference to fund performance record, fund reputation/brand and scheme's portfolio of investment with mean rank 4.47, 4.30 and 4.22 respectively. Scheme's expenses, favorable credit rating and innovativeness of the scheme are least preferred by sample respondents. Here we made a hypothesis to identify the significant difference for the various factors of quality of mutual fund/scheme and used Chi-square test for testing this hypothesis.

HYPOTHESIS 1:- QUALITIES OF FUND/SCHEME DOES NOT AFFECT THE MUTUAL FUND INVESTMENT DECISION OF RETAIL INVESTORS.

The statistical analysis of the first hypothesis is presented in table 6. The results discovered that at five percent level of significance with the degree of freedom 4, the value of chi-square are 121.85, 178, 156.05 and 179.15 for the factor $F_{1,3}$, $F_{1,5}$, $F_{1,6}$ and $F_{1,7}$ respectively and at the degree of freedom 3 the values are 225.48, 165.72, 99.08, 85.92, 115 and 83.36 for the factor $F_{1,1}$, $F_{1,2}$, $F_{1,4}$, $F_{1,8}$, $F_{1,9}$ and $F_{1,10}$ respectively which is higher than the tabulated value of 9.4 at degree of freedom 4 and 7.82 at degree of freedom 3. The results presented the opinion of the respondents that our hypothesis is rejected. So we concluded that various factors of qualities of Fund/Scheme affect the mutual fund investment decision of retail investors.

Table 6 showed that sponsor's past performance in term of risk and return and reputation of sponsor is most preferred by investors. Next factor they considered is sponsor's expertise in managing money and sponsor has a well-developed network. Least preference is given to sponsor has a well-developed research wing. Here we made a hypothesis to test whether fund sponsor qualities has impact on purchase decision of mutual fund investors or not. To test it we used Chi-square test.

HYPOTHESIS 2:- FUND SPONSORS QUALITY DOES NOT AFFECT THE MUTUAL FUND INVESTMENT DECISION OF RETAIL INVESTORS.

At the degree of freedom 3 and level of significance 0.05, the chi-square statistical figures are 105.32, 115.68 and 106.32 for the factor $F_{2,1}$, $F_{2,2}$ and $F_{2,3}$ respectively at the degree of freedom 4, and the values are 164.2 and 164.6 for the factor $F_{2,4}$ and $F_{2,5}$ respectively at degree of freedom 3 which is higher than the tabulated value of 7.82 at degree of freedom 3 and 9.4 at degree of freedom 4. It means investors give importance to the factors of fund sponsor quality and null hypothesis will be rejected.

From the table 7, it can be seen that investors give first preference to disclosure of NAV on every trading day. Disclosure of investment objective in the advertisement and disclosure of method and periodicity of in documents gets second and third rank respectively. MF's investor's grievance redresses machinery

and fringe benefits i.e., free insurance, tax benefits, etc are least preferred. Similarly we use a hypothesis to know the impact of investor's related services on the mutual fund investors' decisions. To test this hypothesis we used Chi-square test.

HYPOTHESIS 3:- INVESTOR'S RELATED SERVICES DOES NOT AFFECT THE MUTUAL FUND INVESTMENT DECISION OF RETAIL INVESTORS.

At the level of significance of 0.05 with the degree of freedom 3, the computed shows statistical figures are 81.96, 132, 138.64 and 77 for the factors $F_{3,1}$, $F_{3,2}$, $F_{3,3}$ and $F_{3,4}$ respectively at the degree of freedom 4 and the value is 153.95 for $F_{3,5}$ at degree of freedom 3 which is higher than the tabulated value of 7.82 at degree of freedom 3 and 9.4 at degree of freedom 4. Result showed that the factors of investor's related services affect the mutual fund investment decision of retail investors.

CONCLUSION

Mutual funds are meant for small investors and their success depends on the knowledge of complex stock market. This study has focused on how investment behavior of fund investors varies in terms of preference and selection of MF schemes. It can be concluded that investors invest their money in mutual fund with the objective of good return, safety and tax benefit. In all nine investment avenues, the most preferred investment vehicle is bank deposit. Investors give equal importance to mutual fund and share/bond. Growth schemes and balanced schemes are most preferred in comparison to other schemes. Fixed maturity schemes are least preferred by mutual fund investors. According to this study it can be said that most important factor considered by mutual fund investors is quality of fund/scheme. It is further revealed that the investors are influenced by the sponsor's past performance of risk and return, the reputation enjoyed by the sponsor and their expertise in managing money when they invest their money in mutual fund scheme. It is hoped that findings of this study can help to AMFI/SEBI to design, marketing and management of their funds. The results of this study will also help to AMC in product designing to meet the investors' need and be alert to capture the changing market moods and innovative. Hence this is helpful for both existing and new mutual funds; funds manager and individual investors.

REFERENCES

1. Bergstresser, D., Chalmer, J.M.R. and Tufano, P. (2009): "Assesing The Cost and Benefits Broker Mutual Fund Industry", The Review of Financial Studies, Vol.22, pp4130-4156.
2. Capon, N., Fitzsimons, G. J. and Weingarten, R. (1994): "Affluent Investors and Mutual Fund Purchase", International Journal of Bank Marketing, Vol.12, pp. 17-25.
3. Capon, N., Fitzsimons, G.J. and Prince, R.A. (1996): "An Individual Level Analysis of the Mutual Fund Investment Decision", Journal of Financial Services Research, Vol.10, pp. 59-82.
4. Chakrabarti, A. and Rungta, H. (2000): "Mutual Fund Industry in India: An In depth Look into the Problems of Credibility, Risk and Brand", The ICFAI Journal of Applied Finance, Vol.6, No.2, pp.27-45.
5. Hartzalli JC, Muhihofer T, Titman SD (2010). Alternative Benchmarks for Evaluating Mutual Fund Performance. J. Real Estate Econ., 121- 154.
6. Khalid SI, Abbas MZ, Shah DS (2010). Performance Evaluation of Close-ended Mutual Funds by Investment Objectives in Pakistan's Khaneman, D. and Riepe, M. (1998): "Aspects of Investors Psychology", Journal of Portfolio Management, Vol.24, No.4, pp. 1-22.
7. Laibson, D., Choi, J. J. and Madrian, B. C. (2009): "Why Does the Law of One Price Fail? An Experiment on Index Mutual Funds", The Review of Financial Studies, Vol.23, pp.1405-1431.
8. Nafees, B, Shah, MA and Khan. (2011) "Performance evaluation of open end and close end mutual funds in Pakistan" African Journal of Business Management Vol.5 (28), pp. 11425-1143
9. Rajeswari (1998): "An Empirical Study on Factors Influencing the Mutual Fund/Scheme Selection by etail Investors", <http://www.utiicm.com/Cmc/PDFs/2001/rajeswari.pdf>, retrieved on 6 Nov. 2009.
10. Ranganathan, K. (2006): "A Study of Fund Selection Behaviour of Individual Investors towards Mutual Funds", The Icfai Journal of Behavioural Finance, Vol.3, No.2, pp. 63-68.
11. Sikidar and Singh (1996): "Financial Services: Investment in Equity and Mutual Funds – A Behaviour Study", Management of Financial Services, Vol. 10, pp. 136-145.

APPENDIX

TABLE 1: INVESTMENT AVENUE PREFERRED BY SAMPLE RESPONDENTS

Investment Avenue	Mean Rank (Overall Rank)
Cash	4.11 (2)
Insurance Schemes	4.76 (5)
Share	4.63 (3.5)
Postal Schemes	5.59 (8)
Real Estate	5.50 (7)
Bank Deposit	3.72 (1)
Pension & Provident fund	5.86 (9)
Mutual Fund	4.63 (3.5)
Gold	5.46 (6)

TABLE 2: MUTUAL FUND SCHEMES PREFERRED BY SAMPLE RESPONDENTS

Type of Scheme	Mean Rank (Overall Rank)
Growth	2.37 (1)
Balanced	2.90 (2)
Income	4.28 (5)
Money Market	4.27 (4)
Tax Saving	3.85 (3)
Index	5.64 (7)
Fixed Maturity	4.69 (6)

TABLE 3: OBJECTIVES OF MUTUAL FUND INVESTMENT OF SAMPLE RESPONDENTS

Objective of MF	Mean Rank (Overall Rank)
Safety	3.12 (2)
Good Return	1.70 (1)
Tax Benefit	3.60 (3)
Liquidity	4.00 (4)
Diversification	4.78 (5)

TABLE 4: IMPORTANCE OF FACTOR AFFECTING THE MUTUAL FUND SELECTION BY SAMPLE RESPONDENTS

Factors (Factor Code)	Mean Rank (Overall Rank)
Quality of Fund/Scheme (F ₁)	1.46 (1)
Fund Sponsor Quality (F ₂)	2.10 (2)
Investor's Related Services (F ₃)	2.48 (3)

TABLE 5: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF SUB-FACTORS RELATED TO QUALITY OF FUND/SCHEME

Quality of Fund/Scheme (Sub-Factor Code)	Mean Rank (Overall Rank)	Chi Square	Degree of freedom (df)	Asymp. Sig.
Fund Performance Record (F _{1.1})	4.47 (1)	225.48	3	.000
Fund Reputation/Brand (F _{1.2})	4.30 (2)	165.72	3	.000
Scheme's Expenses Ratio (F _{1.3})	4.02 (8)	121.85	4	.000
Scheme's Portfolio of Investment (F _{1.4})	4.22 (3)	99.08	3	.000
Reputation of Fund Manager (F _{1.5})	4.21 (4)	178	4	.000
Withdrawal Facilities (F _{1.6})	4.06 (7)	156.05	4	.000
Favorable Credit Rating (F _{1.7})	3.99 (9)	179.15	4	.000
Innovativeness of Scheme (F _{1.8})	1.8 (10)	85.92	3	.000
Product with Tax Benefit (F _{1.9})	4.19 (5)	115	3	.000
Entry and Exit Load (F _{1.10})	4.10 (6)	83.36	3	.000

TABLE 6: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF SUB-FACTORS RELATED TO FUND SPONSOR QUALITIES

Fund Sponsor Qualities (Sub-Factor code)	Mean Rank (Overall Rank)	Chi Square	Degree of freedom (df)	Asymp. Sig.
Reputation of Sponsor (F _{2.1})	4.18 (2)	105.32	3	.000
Sponsor has a well Developed Network (F _{2.2})	4.12 (4)	115.68	3	.000
Sponsor's Expertise in Managing Money (F _{2.3})	4.17 (3)	106.32	3	.000
Sponsor has a well Developed Research Wing (F _{2.4})	4.10 (5)	164.2	4	.000
Sponsor's Past Performance of Risk and Return (F _{2.5})	4.19 (1)	164.6	4	.000

TABLE 7: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF SUB-FACTORS RELATED TO INVESTOR'S RELATED SERVICES

Investor's Related Services (Sub-Factor code)	Mean Rank (Overall Rank)	Chi Square	Degree of freedom (df)	Asymp. Sig.
Disclosure of Investment Objective in the Advertisement (F _{3.1})	4.08 (2.5)	81.96	3	.000
Disclosure of Method and Periodicity of in Documents (F _{3.2})	4.08 (2.5)	132	3	.000
Disclosure of NAV on Every Trading Day (F _{3.3})	4.33 (1)	138.64	3	.000
MF Investors' Grievance Redresses Machinery (F _{3.4})	4.06 (4)	77	3	.000
Fringe Benefits , Free Insurance, Tax Benefits, etc (F _{3.5})	4.05 (5)	153.95	4	.000

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

