### WHAT DRIVES THE PERFORMANCE OF COMMERCIAL BANKS IN ETHIOPIA?

DR. DEEPAK KAPUR

FELLOW (IIMA)

DIRECTOR — PANJAB UNIVERSITY REGIONAL CENTER, LUDIHANA

DEAN - FACULTY OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND COMMERCE

PANJAB UNIVERSITY

CHANDIGARH - 160 014

ABEBAW KASSIE GUALU RESEARCH SCHOLAR UNIVERSITY BUSINESS SCHOOL PANJAB UNIVESITY CHANDIGARH - 160 014

### **ABSTRACT**

This paper investigates the impact of macroeconomic factors, financial system, banking sector variables and bank-specific characteristics on Ethiopian commercial banks' profits measured using return on assets (ROA) measure of profit during the period of 2001 to 2008. The random effect panel data regression result shows that the capital strength, bank intermediation and size measures have a positive and dominant influence on their profitability. The other significant factors being efficiency in expense management measured by overhead has negative and significant on profit measures. Thus, overhead, capital strength, bank intermediation and size measures are important determinants of bank profits in Ethiopia.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Banking, Finance, performance.

#### INTRODUCTION

thiopia's financial sector remains closed and is much less developed than its neighbours. Ethiopia has no capital market and very limited informal investing in shares of private companies. A series of financial sector reforms has been introduced since 1994, when private banks were allowed to be reestablished. But the three large state-owned banks continue to dominate the market in terms of capital, deposits and assets. Ethiopian financial system is highly bank dominated. From 2001 to 2008, the banking sector constitutes 95 percent of assets, 96.53 percent of deposits, 94 percent of loans and deposits and 76.78 percent of equity of the financial sector on average.

As banks dominate the financial sector in Ethiopia, ensuring the financial health of them can be considered as an indication of the performance of the financial system of the country. The financial system is an important ingredient in any economic environment of a country. The very function of this particular sector has an immense impact on the economic system. Hence, it is very much essential for a country to look after its financial system continuously. The soundness and safety of the financial system could be done by assessing the performance and determinants of performance and act accordingly to curve the situation to the benefit of the individual institutions, financial system and to the economy at large. In this regard, the study to investigate determinant factors of profit performance of Ethiopian commercial banks.

Considering the nature and objective of the present study, we have used return on assets (ROA) as performance measures of profit performance and external and internal variables as independent variables are used. The effects of the independent variables on the profit measurement variables are analyzed using random effects panel data regression model as it is favored by the Hausman specification test.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section II provides a brief review of the literature of previous research relating to determinants of bank performance. Section III discusses the set of variables used for assessing the determinants of performance of banks. Section IV describes the data and methodology. Section V presents the empirical results, and Section VI concludes.

### LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE DETERMINANTS OF BANK PERFORMANCE

Studies on the determinants of banks' interest margin and profitability have focused whether on a particular country (Kosmidou, Tanna and Pasiouras; Wong et al., (2007); Guru et al., 2002; Barajas et al., 2001; Naceur and Goaied, 2003) and on a panel of countries (Abreu and Mendes, 2002; Demerguç- Kunt and Huizingha, 1999).

The empirical evidence due to; Naceur and Goaied(2001); Guru et al. (2002) ;Wong et al (2007) and Kosmidou, Tanna and Pasiouras and are among the literatures assessed in Single Country Studies category.

Naceur and Goaied(2001) in their paper termed The Determinants of Commercial Bank Interest Margin and Profitability: Evidence from Tunisia have found that individual bank characteristics explain a substantial part of the within-country variation in bank interest margins and net profitability. High net interest margin and profitability tend to be associated with banks that hold a relatively high amount of capital, and with large overheads. Second, the paper finds that the inflation has a positive impact on banks' net interest margin while economic growth has no incidence. Third, turning to financial structure and its impact on banks' interest margin and profitability, concentration is less beneficial to the Tunisian commercial banks than competition. Stock market development has a positive effect on bank profitability. This reflects the complementarities between bank and stock market growth. The disintermediation of the Tunisian financial system is favorable to the banking sector profitability. They investigated the impact of banks' characteristics, financial structure and macroeconomic indicators on banks' net interest margins and profitability in the Tunisian banking industry for the 1980-2000 period using panel data regression.

Guru et al. (2002) attempt to identify the determinants of successful deposit banks in order to provide practical guides for improved profitability performance of these institutions. The study is based on a sample of seventeen Malaysian commercial banks over the 1986-1995 period. The profitability determinants were divided in two main categories, namely the internal determinants (liquidity, capital adequacy and expenses management) and the external determinants (ownership, firm size and external economic conditions). The findings of this study revealed that efficient expenses management was one of the most significant factors in explaining high bank profitability. Among the macro indicators, high interest ratio was associated with low bank profitability and inflation was found to have a positive effect on bank performance.

Wong et al (2007) in their research article titled determinants of the performance of banks in Hong Kong have found that in Hong Kong's case, market structure, such as market concentration and market shares of banks, is not a major contributory factor of a bank's profit and the general level of profitability of a banking market. Cost efficiency of banks, which measures the ability of banks to optimize their input mix for producing outputs, is a major determinant of banks'



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY OF ACCEPTANCE OF MENTORING FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS	1
1.	IN GULF COUNTRIES	_
	DR. IMRAN HAMEED & DR. NAZIA RASOOL QAZI	
2.	ROLE OF USER FEES IN ETHIOPIA: A CASE STUDY OF JIMMA UNIVERSITY SPECIALIZED HOSPITAL, SOUTH WEST ETHIOPIA	6
۷.	DR. DEVI NAIR & KORA TUSHUNE	·
3.	EXPORT GROWTH OF NON – OIL SECTOR IN OMAN: THE ERA OF LIBERALIZATION	13
<b>J</b> .	DR. THRESIAMMA VARGHESE	
4.	CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT IN THEORY & PRACTISE	17
••	DR. QADAR BAKHSH BALOCH, IMRAN ULLANH KHAN & SHAHED JAN	
5.	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND PERFORMANCE OF PAKISTANI LISTED COMPANIES - A CASE STUDY OF SUGAR SECTOR	23
•	QAISER RAFIQUE YASSER	
6.	STATE OF FLEXIBLE CAREERS FOR THE WOMAN PROFESSIONAL IN INDIA	31
•	SAUNDARYA RAJESH	
7.	WHAT DRIVES THE PERFORMANCE OF COMMERCIAL BANKS IN ETHIOPIA?	38
•	DR. DEEPAK KAPUR & ABEBAW KASSIE GUALU	
8.	NEEDS ASSESSMENT OF EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE IN BUSINESS EDUCATION	43
٠.	KRISHNA PRIYA & DR. K. S. CHANDRASEKAR	
9.	CREDIT MANAGEMENT OF INDIAN COMMERCIAL VEHICLE INDUSTRY	48
٠.	N. VELMATHI & DR. R. GANESAN	
10.	EVALUATION OF PASSENGER SATISFACTION AND SERVICE QUALITY IN INDIAN RAILWAYS - A CASE STUDY OF SOUTH CENTRAL	53
	RAILWAY USING RAILQUAL	
	M. DEVI PRASAD & DR. B. RAJA SHEKHAR	
11.	A STUDY OF TERTIARY EDUCATION AND SHIFTS IN GLOBALLY MOBILE STUDENTS	58
	DR. Y. V. REDDY & D. M. DESHPANDE	
12.	DIMENSION OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF CEMENT UNITS IN SOUTH INDIA - AN EMPHIRICAL STUDY (Z SCORE ANALYSIS)	64
	DR. R. SRINIVASAN & C. U. TIRIPURA SUNDARI	
13.	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL LEVERAGE, EARNINGS AND DIVIDEND: A CASE STUDY OF MARUTI SUZUKI INDIA LTD.	69
	DR. SANJAY J. BHAYANI & DR. BUTALAL AJMERA	
14.	SERVICES MARKETING DYNAMICS – AN EXAMINATION OF SPORTS SPONSORSHIP STRATEGIES OF U. K. MARKET	73
	DR. S. P. RATH, DR. BISWAJIT DAS & CHEF. ANANT BHAMKAR	
<b>15</b> .	NPA'S SIDE EFFECT AND IT'S CURATIVE MANTRA	77
	DR. B. CHANDRA MOHAN PATNAIK, DR. IPSEETA SATPATHY & AROOP KUMAR MOHAPATRA	
16.	THE ROLE OF EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE IN SELF DEVELOPMENT OF DOCTORS AN EMPIRICAL STUDY	81
	M. N. R. MANOHAR & A. V. SATYANARAYANA RAO	
17.	RISK MINIMIZATION IN SPOT AND DERIVATIVE MARKET	87
	DR. SUBRATA MUKHERJEE & DR. SAMIR GHOSH	
18.	IMPORTANCE-PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS (IPA) TO EXPLORE ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE – EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE	93
	SUBASHINI R & SAMUEL S	
19.	GOA TOURISM: MYTHS AND REALITIES	98
	DR. HIRANMOY ROY	
20.	SPIRITUALITY AND MANAGEMENT	103
	V. NITHYANANTHAN & DR. B. KALPANA	
21.	ORGANIZATIONAL HEALTH: EXAMINING WORKPLACE PRACTICES AND WELL-BEING	107
	DR. R. PRABHAKARA RAYA & P. SIVAPRAGASAM	
22.	EMPLOYEESSIXTH PAY COMMISSION: ESTIMATION OF JOB SATISFACTION AMONG CENTRAL GOVERNMENT	111
	DR. RAJESH KUMAR SHASTRI & MALAVIKA SINHA	
23.	PRODUCTIVITY CHANGE IN THE INDIAN HEALTH INSURANCE BUSINESS: A MALMQUIST TOTAL FACTOR PRODUCTIVITY ANALYSIS	115
	DR. SUMNINDER KAUR BAWA & RUCHITA	
24.	THE IMPACT OF CAPITAL ADEQUACY REQUIREMENTS ON PROFITABILITY OF PRIVATE BANKS IN INDIA - A CASE STUDY OF J&K,	122
	ICICI, HDFC AND YES BANK	
	DR. KHALID ASHRAF CHISHTY	
25.	AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON EFFECT OF WELFARE MEASURES ON EMPLOYEES' SATISFACTION IN INDIAN RAILWAYS	130
	DR. ASIYA CHAUDHAY & ROOHI IQBAL	
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	138

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A.

### CHIEF PATRON

### PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

### PATRON

### SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

# CO-ORDINATOR

**DR. SAMBHAV GARG** 

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

# ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

### EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Dean (Academics), Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, Delhi

### CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

# EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. AMBIKA ZUTSHI

Faculty, School of Management & Marketing, Deakin University, Australia

**DR. VIVEK NATRAJAN** 

Faculty, Lomar University, U.S.A.

**DR. RAJESH MODI** 

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. SANJIV MITTAL** 

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**PROF. ROSHAN LAL** 

Head & Convener Ph. D. Programme, M. M. Institute of Management, M. M. University, Mullana

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI** 

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

### DR. KULBHUSHAN CHANDEL

Reader, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla

### DR. TEJINDER SHARMA

Reader, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

### **DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

### DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

### **DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Government F. G. College Chitguppa, Bidar, Karnataka

### **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

### **PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

### **PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

### DR. V. SELVAM

Divisional Leader – Commerce SSL, VIT University, Vellore

### DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

### S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Asst. Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

# TECHNICAL ADVISOR

### **AMITA**

Faculty, E.C.C., Safidon, Jind

### **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

## FINANCIAL ADVISORS

### **DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

### **NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

# LEGAL ADVISORS

### **JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

### **CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA**

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

### **SUPERINTENDENT**

**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA** 

# **CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS**

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Business Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses, <a href="mailto:info@ijrcm@gmail.com">info@ijrcm.org.in</a>.

# GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
URCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Computer/IT/Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Ma	anagement/other, please specify).
DEAR SIR/MADAM	11
Please find my submission of manuscript titled '	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore it has neith nor is it under review for publication anywhere.	ner been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly,
I affirm that all author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscri	pt and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
Also, if our/my manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as gi contribution to any of your journals.	ven on the website of journal & you are free to publish our
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:	
Designation:	
Affiliation with full address & Pin Code:	

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

- 2. INTRODUCTION: Manuscript must be in British English prepared on a standard A4 size paper setting. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of the every page.
- 3. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 4. **AUTHOR NAME(S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- 5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified.
- 10. **FIGURES &TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the tables/figures. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. It must be single spaced, and at the end of the manuscript. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

### PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

### BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio," Ohio State University.

### **CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

 Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

### JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

### **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

• Garg Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

### UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

### ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

### WEBSITE

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Economic and Political Weekly, Viewed on July 05, 2011 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

profitability. This paper develops a model to identify the major determinants of a bank's profit, and the general level of profitability of a banking market. The paper employed the Berger-Hannan (1993) approach and a panel dataset of retail banks in Hong Kong covering the period 1991-2005 to examine how banks' performance is determined, by including direct measures of efficiency in the empirical analysis, along with variables representing market structures and other controlling factors. The bank performance is proxied by return on assets.

A research article in 2008 titled The Determinants of Bank Performance in China suggested economic value added and the net interest margin do better than the more conventional measures of profitability, namely return on average equity (ROAE) and return on average assets (ROAA). Some macroeconomic variables and financial ratios are significant with the expected signs. Though the type of bank is influential, bank size is not. Neither the percentage of foreign ownership nor bank listings has a discernable effect. The original sample includes 76 banking institutions based in China between 1999 and 2006. The study has used regression analysis and the dependent variables include return on average equity (ROAE), return on average assets (ROAA), economic value added and net interest margin and the independent variables include bank specific (cost to income, equity to total assets, liquid assets to deposits, loan loss reserves to gross loans, total assets, net loans to total assets, other operating assets to average assets) and macroeconomic (inflation, annual real GDP growth, annual unemployment rate). Kosmidou, Tanna and Pasiouras have found that the capital strength, efficiency in expenses management and bank size of UK banks has a positive and dominant influence on their profitability. Naceur and Goaied (2003) have found that individual bank characteristics explain a substantial part of the within-country variation in bank interest margins and net profitability. High net interest margin and profitability tend to be associated with banks that hold a relatively high amount of capital, and with large overheads. The inflation has a positive impact on banks' net interest margin while economic growth has no incidence. Concentration is less beneficial to the Tunisian commercial banks than competition. Stock market development has a positive effect on bank profitability. The disintermediation of the Tunisian financial system is favorable to the banking sector profitability.

### PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND DETERMINANTS

The primary focus of the following variables is to uncover the impact of performance determinants on profit performance measures in Ethiopia.

### 1. PERFORMANCE MEASURES

In line with earlier studies that examined the determinants of banks' profits or profitability, the study relies on the return on assets (ROA), calculated as net profit after tax divided by average total assets. This is probably the most important measure used in comparing the operating performance of banks, and the average value is used in order to control for differences that occur in assets during the fiscal year. ROA measures the profit earned per dollar of assets and reflects how well bank management use the banks' real investment resources to generate profits.

Table 1 gives a brief description of the variables used to measure the profit performance of Ethiopian banks.

### [Insert Table 1]

#### 2. INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

#### **EXTERNAL DETERMINANTS**

Turning to the external determinants, four measures are considered, two of which represent the influence of macroeconomic conditions, one of financial sector development (size of financial system) and one of banking structure (CONC). The rate of GDP per capita growth (GROWTH) and inflation (INF) are the two macroeconomic variables. GROWTH reflects the state of the economic cycle and is expected to have an impact on the demand for banks loans. Inflation affects the real value of costs and revenues although it may have a positive or negative effect on profitability depending on whether it is anticipated or unanticipated (Perry, 1992).

The size of the financial sector is represented by financial assets to gross domestic product (FAGDP) and the structure of the banking industry is represented by concentration (CONC)). FAGDP is expressed as the ratio of financial system assets to GDP of the country, and provides an indication of the size of the financial sector or financial development in the economy which is measured by the ratio of total assets of the financial system to GDP and is intended to measure the importance of bank financing in the economy. It is expected to influence positively bank performance. Since the stock markets are virtually nonexistent in Ethiopia, stock market development is not dealt with.

CONC is calculated by dividing the total assets of the largest bank (CBE) in the market with the total assets of all banks operating in the market. A positive effect of this variable would signify a high degree of concentration since, according to the Structure-Conduct Performance (SCP) hypothesis, banks in highly concentrated markets tend to collude and therefore earn monopoly profits (Short, 1979; Gilbert, 1984; Molyneux et al., 1996). However, not all studies have found evidence to support the SCP hypothesis. From the 45 studies reviewed by Gilbert (1984) only 27 provided evidence that the SCP paradigm holds.

As potential determinants of Ethiopian commercial banks' profits, two measures representing the influence of macroeconomic conditions, two measures of banking and market structure and six bank-specific measures are considered.

### INTERNAL DETERMINANTS

The six measures used as internal determinants of performance are: the excess of noninterest expense over total noninterest income to net interest income ratio (OH) as an indicator of efficiency in expenses management; ratio of equity to total assets (CA) to represent capital strength; ratio of Nonperforming assets (loans) to total loans and advances (NPL) as an indicator of banks' asset quality; ratio of Banks' loans to total assets (LA); representing bank intermediation, the total assets of a bank representing its size (SIZE) and time to see the changes through time.

The excess of noninterest expense over total noninterest income to net interest income ratio (OVERHEAD, OH) measures the overhead or costs of running the bank, as percentage of net interest income. It is typically used as an indicator of management's ability to control costs. Since higher expenses normally mean lower profits and vice versa. It also measures the burden of non interest earning on interest income. It also is used to provide information on variation in bank costs over the banking system. Overhead is expected to have a negative impact on performance because efficient banks are expected to operate at lower costs. Equity to total assets (CA) measures of capital strength (capital management ability). It is also expected that the higher equity-to-asset ratio, the lower the need to external funding and therefore higher profitability. It also a sign that well capitalized bank face lower costs of ongoing bankrupt and then cost of funding is reduced.

Nonperforming assets (loans) to total loans and advances (NPL) is a measure of bank asset quality. The amount of nonperforming assets has a direct implication in the profitability of the bank, that is if the proportion of the non performing assets in relation to total loans increase the profitability will be decreased and vice versa

Bank loans (LA) are expected to be the main source of income and are expected to have a positive impact on bank performance. Other things constant, the more deposits are transformed into loans, the higher the interest margin and profits. However, if a bank needs to increase risk to have a higher loan-to-asset ratio, then profits may decrease. In addition, as bank loans are the principal source of income, it is expected that non-interest bearing assets impact negatively on profits.

The size of the bank is also included as an independent variable to account for size related economies (scale economies with reduced costs, or scope economies that result in loan and product diversification, thus providing access to markets that a small bank cannot entry) and diseconomies of scale. In most of the finance literature, the total assets and total shareholders' equity of the banks are used as a proxy for bank size. However, since most of the variables in the models were deflated by total assets it would be appropriate to log total assets before including it in the model.

### DATA AND METHODOLOGY

### DATA

Financial data for the commercial banks were obtained from the individual banks financial statements(annual balance sheet and income statements), supplemented by macroeconomic, financial and banking structure from annual reports of National Bank of Ethiopia (the central bank in Ethiopia). All commercial

banks which were operational within Ethiopia in the study period are included. The time period of the study is from 2001 to 2008. The fiscal year ends on July 7 of each year. This yielded a balanced panel data for 8 commercial banks, consisting of 62 observations.

Table 2 shows the results of multicollinearity diagnostics using variable inflation factor (VIF) the VIF value of concentration is 19.25 and concentration is dropped as the value of its VIF is greater than 10. As a rule of thumb, if the VIF of a variable exceeds 10, that variable is said to be highly collinear (Gujrati and Sangeetha, 2008). (Insert Table 2 here). And in order to study the impact of concentration on the performance of banks, the random effects regression including concentration but excluding the variable that had higher correlation with concentration has been used. Inflation had the highest inflation with concentration variables partial regressions have been used to identify correlations among the independent. (Insert Table 5 here).

#### METHODOLOGY

Random and fixed effects panel data regression equations are used to examine the determinants of the profits or profit performance of Ethiopian commercial banks. Performance is examined as a function of bank specific factors, macro economic factors and financial sector factors.

 $Y_{it} = (\alpha_i + u_i) + \beta i X_{it}$ 

Where; Y<sub>it</sub> is the performance of a given bank at a specified time measured in terms of ROA; where i=banks and t= time

 $(\alpha_i + u_i)$  is the error term

βi is the coefficient for that independent variables

X<sub>it</sub> represents is a vector of independent variables for each bank (i) and at time (j).

Independent variables consist of Macroeconomic factors, financial structure factors and bank specific factors. Macro economic factors include GDP per capita growth rate and inflation; financial sector factors include total financial assets of in the economy to GDP and Bank concentration; Bank characteristics indicators include profitability, efficiency, capital management, asset quality, intermediation and size measures.

The preference for a random effects model over a fixed effects model was based on the use of the Hausman test (Baltagi, 2001). Thus, The Hausman specification test is used to discriminate between the fixed and random effects in the panel data. The Hausman specification test shows that there is no significant differences in the coefficients estimated using fixed and random effects regression (Chi2 (5) = 6.87, Prob > chi2 = 0.23). Thus random effect regression is favored.

Test for random effects: it is made using Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test for random effects: chi2(1) = 2.17, Prob > chi2 = 0.1407. Thus the data is fit for the random effects regression.

Autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity is controlled by using robust clustered standard errors in the random effects model.

The normality of the error term is checked by Shapiro-Wilk test for normal data and is found normal, as p value is greater than> 0.05. (See Table 4)

### **RESULTS**

Since random effect regression is favored for the panel data regression using ROA as profit performance measure, the interpretation is made using random effects model.

### **INTERPRETATION**

The dependent variable ROA was modeled as a function of a number of explanatory variables. The dataset contains 62 "observations", which is 8 banks each observed, on average, on 7.8 different years. An observation in the data is a bank in a given year. The data contains variable i identifying the banks; the i index in x [i,t].

A model test for random effect regression is checked by the wald test (Wald chi 2 (6) = 6097.87, Prob > chi2 = 0.0000). The null hypothesis is all coefficients of regressors in the model have a value of zero. As it is less than .05, the model is fit for our purpose showing the ability of explanatory variables to determine the dependent variable. The differences across units are uncorrelated with the repressors' (Corr(u\_i, X = 0 (assumed)).

Coefficients of explanatory variables show that the average effects of explanatory variables over ROA when explanatory variables changes across time and between banks by one unit.

Z values test the hypothesis that each coefficient is different from 0. To reject it should be greater than 1.96 for 95 percent confidence level or *P* values should be less than .05 to reject the hypothesis. Thus, OH, CA, LA and LOGA values greater than 1.96 Z values (less than or equal to 0.05 *P* values), that is the coefficient values are different from zero, that is, they are determinants of ROA.

### **EXTERNAL FACTORS**

When we look the effects of external factors on banking performance measured in terms of ROA, all of them were not significant. Economic growth could have a positive, negative and no effect on the financial sector performance. GROWTH has no significant effect on the profitability of Ethiopian banks.

The other macroeconomic indicator variable inflation (INF) has a positive association between inflation and bank profitability considering the findings of previous studies (e.g.Claessens et al., 1998; Demirguc-Kunt and Huizinga, 1999). The positive association is related to the theory that inflation was anticipated giving banks the opportunity to adjust interest rates accordingly, resulting in revenues that increased faster than costs, thus implying higher profits. But, inflation has no significant effect on the profitability of Ethiopian banks. One reason for this could be the interest rate spread is not responding with the economic condition of the country, and the positive association of inflation and profitability could be due to non interest related activities.

TAGDP and CONC have no any significant effect on the profitability of Ethiopian banks. This implies that the effect of financial sector development and completion among the banking sector have no significant impact on the profitability of Ethiopian banks.

### INTERNAL FACTORS

As expected the coefficient of the noninterest expense over noninterest income to net interest income ratio (OH) is negative on Ethiopian bank profits. This ratio has a negative effect and significant on ROA. Thus, it suggests that efficiency in expenses management is a determinant of Ethiopian bank profits. Guru et al. (1999), Kosmidou (2006) and Pasiouras et al. (2006) also confirm this inverse relationship for Malaysia, Greece and Australia respectively.

Capital strength makes a significant contribution to the profitability of the Ethiopian banks, as the relatively high coefficient of the equity to assets ratio (EQAS) shows. The ratio is positive and significant. This finding is consistent with previous studies (Berger, 1995; Demirguc-Kunt and Huizinga, 1999; Ben Nacuer, 2003; Kosmidou, 2006; Pasiouras et al., 2006) and indicates that well capitalized banks face lower costs of going bankrupt, which suggests reduced cost of funding or lower need for external funding, implying higher profits.

As expected the coefficient of nonperforming loans and advances to the total loans and advances ratio (NPL) is negative on Ethiopian bank profits but not significant at *P* is greater than 0.05 but it was significant at 10 percent level as the *P* value is less than 0.1.

Next, it is found a direct relationship between intermediation and profitability. When the effect of intermediation is considered, as expected the coefficient of total loans and advances to total assets ratio (LA) is positive and significant on Ethiopian bank profits. Thus, it suggests that efficiency in intermediation activities is a determinant factor of Ethiopian bank profits. At last, it is also found a direct and significant relationship between bank size and profitability. This implies that large banks have the greater potential to earn more profits in Ethiopia.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

This study investigates the impact of bank-specific characteristics, macroeconomic conditions and financial market structure on Ethiopian owned commercial banks' profits, measured by return on average assets (ROA). A balanced panel data set of 62 observations, covering the period 2001-2008, provided the basis for the econometric analysis.

The results show that capital strength, represented by the equity to assets ratio, bank intermediation ratio represented by banks loans to total assets and bank size represented by assets, are the main determinants of Ethiopian banks profits. This provides support to the argument that well capitalized banks face lower

costs of external financing, which reduces their costs and enhances profits. Studies for other countries also support this finding (Berger, 1995; Demirguc-Kunt and Huizinga, 1999; Ben Nacuer, 2003; Pasiouras and Kosmidou, 2006; Pasiouras et al. 2006). Size measures have a positive effect on profitability supporting the economies of scale argument. The impact of overhead to net interest income and nonperforming loan ratios are negative and significant.

When we assess the external factors, they have a relatively small impact on the profitability of Ethiopian banks. None of these measures were significant.

Thus, overhead, capital strength and bank intermediation and size measures are important determinants of bank profits in Ethiopia. This shows that the key for success in profit for banks rely on individual bank characteristics implying proper management of activities by individual banks is indispensable to be profitable.

#### REFERENCES

Abreu, M. and Mendes, V. (2001), "Commercial bank interest margins and Bank Profitability in Malaysia: Evidence from E.U countries. Porto Working Paper Series.

Baltagi, B.H., (2001), "Econometric Analysis of Panel Data", 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons Ltd, England.

Barajas, A., Steiner R. and Salazar N. (1999), "Interest spreads in banking in Colombia 1974-96", IMF Staff Papers, 46, 196-224.

Ben Naceur, S. (2003), "The determinants of the Tunisian banking industry profitability: panel evidence. Paper presented at the Economic Research Forum (ERF) 10th Annual Conference, Marrakesh-Morocco, 16-18 December.

Claessens, S., Demirguc-Kunt, A. and Huizinga, H. (1998), "How Does Foreign Entry Affect the Domestic Banking Markets?", journal of Banking and Finance, 25, 891-911.

Demirguc-Kunt, A. and Huizinga, H. (1999), "Determinants of commercial bank interest margins and profitability: Some international evidence" World Bank Economic Review, 13, 379-408.

Demirguc-Kunt, A. and Huizinga, H. (1999) "Determinants of commercial bank interest margins and profitability: Some international evidence", World Bank Economic Review, 13, 379-408.

Gilbert, R. 1984.Bank market structure and competition- a survey. Journal of Money Credit and Banking, 16, 617-645.

Gugarati, D.N and Sangeetha. (2008), "Basic Econometrics" The Tata McGraw-Hill Companies, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. (India)

Guru, B.K., Staunton, J and Balashanmugam, B. (1999), "Determinants of Commercial profitability: evidence from some EU countries. Paper presented at the Pan Macedonia Economic & Social Sciences, Thessaloniki, Greece, May 17-20.

Jim Wong, Tom Fong, Eric Wong, and Ka-Fai Choi .(2007), "Determinants of the Performance Of Banks In Hong Kong", Hong Kong Monetary Authority. Research Department; Working Paper 06/2007 25 April 2007.

Kosmidoul,K.,Tanna,S. and Pasiouras,F. "Determinants of profitability of domestic UK commercial banks: panel evidence from the period 1995-2002" Coventry Business School, Economics, Finance and Accounting Working Paper Series.

Molyneux, P., Altunbas Y. and Gardener, EPM. (1996), "Efficiency in European Banking", John Willey and Sons, Chichester.

Pasiouras, F., Kosmidou, K. (2006), "Factors influencing the profitability of domestic and foreign banks in the European Union", Research in International Business and Finance.

Perry, P. (1992) "Do Banks Gain or Lose from Inflation", Journal of Retail Banking 14 (2), 25-40.

Short, B.K. (1979), "The relation between commercial bank profit rate and banking Concentration in Canada, Western Europe and Japan", Journal of Banking & Finance, 3, 209-219

### **TABLES**

### **TABLE 1- VARIABLES DESCRIPTION**

### DEPENDENT

ROA: The return on average total assets of Ethiopian Commercial banks (Net income after taxes to average assets.

### INDEPENDENT

March Land

Macroeconomic and Financial Structure (External Factors)

Macroeconomic factors

- 1. RGPCG (Growth): Economic growth proxied by real GDP per capita growth rate.
- 2. INF The annual inflation rate

Financial Structure factors

- FAGDP(Total financial assets to GDP): This variable serves as a proxy of financial development.
- 2. CONC: The C1 concentration measure calculated by dividing the assets of the one largest bank(The Commercial Bank of Ethiopia) with the assets of all banks operating in the market. This variable serves as the proxy of competition in the banking sector.

Banks characteristics or bank specific variables (Internals Factors)

- 1. OH: This is the excess of noninterest expense over total noninterest income to net interest income ratio. It provides information on the efficiency of the management regarding expenses relative to the revenues it generates. Higher ratio implies less efficient management.
- 2. CAP: This is a measure of capital strength (management), calculated as equity to total assets. High ratio implies low leverage and therefore lower risk.
- 3. NPL: it shows the extent of nonperforming loans and advances out of the total loans and advances provided by banks. It is measured by Nonperforming assets (loans) to total loans and advances; proxy for bank Asset quality and risk. The higher the ratio the poorer the quality and therefore the higher the risk of the loan portfolio.
- 4. LA it is Bank's loans to total assets (BLOAN); proxy for intermediation. Other things constant, the more deposits are transformed into loans, the higher the interest margin and profits and
- 5. LOGA (Size): Bank total assets; proxy for size measures.

A Table that provides a description of all the variables considered in this study, indicating also their likely association with bank performance.

### TABLE 2: MULTICLLINEARITY DIAGNOSTICS: VIF AND 1/VIF VALUES OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
+		
conc	19.25	0.051950
inf	5.58	0.179058
loga	4.51	0.221776
npl	3.29	0.304233
la	3.15	0.316961
fagdp	2.93	0.341643
rgpcg	2.86	0.349212
ca	2.82	0.355155
oh	1.91	0.522633
+		
Mean VIF	5.14	

TABLE 3: RESULTS OF RANDOM EFFECTS WITH ROBUST- CLUSTERED STANDARD ERRORS TAKING ROA AS PERFORMANCE MEASURE OF BANKS

	Dependent Variable ROA	
Independent Variables	Coef.	P > z
RGPCG	-0.011	0.326
INF	-0.012	0.57
TAGDP	-0.004	0.578
CONC	-0.027	0.621
ОН	-0.031	0
CA	0.072	0.035
NPL	-0.039	0.08
LA	0.051	0
LOGA	0.636	0.027
_CONS	-2.644	0.235
Number of observations	62	
Model Fitness test	Wald chi2(6) = 6097.87 Prob > Chi2 = 0.000	
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test	chi2(1) = 2.17, Prob > chi2 = 0.14	
Rho	0	
The Hausman test	Chi2 (5) = 6.87, Prob>chi2 = 0.231	
Corr(U_i,Xb)	0	

Notes: 8 Banks, period 2001-2008, No. of observations = 64; observations in each group or bank is: min = 7, Avg = 7.8 and Max = 8, significance values of p at the 5 % level.

**TABLE 4: NORMALITY TEST OF THE ERROR TERM** 

Shapiro-Wilk test for normal data

varible	Obs	Z	Prob>Z
U_it	62	0.349	0.363
1 0.4			

**TABLE 5: PARTIAL CORRELATION OF CONC WITH** 

obs=64)

Variable	Corr.	Sig.
	+	
rgpcg	-0.6644	0.000
inf	-0.7050	0.000
fagdp	0.5106	0.000
oh	0.0386	0.775
ca	-0.1090	0.420
npl	0.2392	0.073
la	-0.3764	0.004
loga	0.5783	0.000



# REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

### **Academically yours**

Sd/-

**Co-ordinator**